Judge's initials

Scoring guide: 0 = Content is missing or inaccurate

2 = Content present, more detail needed

3 = Average/at grade level of effort. All project requirements are met.

4 = Very good, above grade level of sophistication and/or effort

6 = All parts of multi-section project element are present and fully addressed.

Description of Criteria	Project Elements	Points Awarded	
for Scientific Method Projects		(Circle)	
Project title is relevant.	Title	0 2 3 4	
Testable question and educated prediction supported by facts.	Question and Hypothesis	0 2 3 4	
Materials list, including amounts used and specific descriptions of materials, is present.	Materials	0 2 3 4	
Procedures clearly describe processes used for testing. Multiple trials are used. Control was used, when applicable.	Procedure	0 2 3 4	
Data tables and graphs support procedures and stated results. Labels, titles, and units found on tables and graphs. Metric units used.	Data and Data Analysis	0 2 3 4 6	
Is the testable question answered? Is the hypothesis supported by data? Does the data support the stated conclusion?	Conclusion	0 2 3 4	
Display board is organized, neat, and well planned. Photos, diagrams, and graphics are used to describe the work.	Display Appearance	0 2 3 4	
Includes all research relating to the project, entries describe work throughout experiment, challenges, errors, unexpected results. Entries are dated.	Lab Journal	0 2 3 4 6	
Project demonstrates strong critical thinking skills.	Critical Thinking	0 2 3 4	
TOTAL POINTS FOR PROJECT BOARD		/ 40	

Comments:	Turn over to complete score
	student interview.

Livermore Valley Joint Unified Science Odyssey

Student Interview Scoring Rubric

Judge (circle) #1 or #2

Scoring guide: **0** = Inaccurate knowledge of subject and process. Appears that work is not done by students.

1 = Limited or inconsistent knowledge of subject and process.

3 = Good level of knowledge of the process, with few mistakes.

4 = Shows high level of knowledge of subject and generally communicates results clearly, with only minor misunderstandings.

5 = Excellent knowledge of the project. Can articulate processes and results clearly and accurately.

Judge's initials	
------------------	--

Description of Criteria Interview E		Points Awarded (Circle)
Student(s) explains why they chose their topic.	Origin of project topic	0 1 3 4 5
Student(s) describes what was being tested and how the test was designed	Explanation of project's procedures	0 1 3 4 5
Student(s) accurately explains test results and data.	Data analysis	0 1 3 4 5
Student(s) discusses what challenges arose with the project, possible unexpected results or set-backs, and how these were addressed.	Discussion of project challenges, unexpected results	0 1 3 4 5
Student(s) explains what was learned.	Interpretation of results	0 1 3 4 5
Student(s) discusses the project without reading from the display board. Student(s) explains the work, using language appropriate for grade level.	Students show a genuine understanding of the project	0 1 3 4 5
Student(s) makes eye contact, speaks clearly, shows enthusiasm for project.	Presentation skills	0 1 3 4 5
TOTAL POINTS FOR INTERVIEW		/35

TURN OVER AND SCORE PROJECT BOARD

Total Points =	Judge 1 Total	Judge 2 Total	Average	Award recommendation (circle)	
Display Board				1 st	2 nd
+ Interview				3 rd	НМ

Recommended for Best of Show award? Yes No

1st place: 68 – 75 points 2nd place: 60 – 67 3rd place: 52 - 59 Honorable Mention: ≤51